



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa


The Dirichlet problem of higher order quasilinear elliptic equation[☆]

Yongqiang Fu, Mei Yu^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 March 2009

Available online 3 October 2009

Submitted by P.G. Lemarie-Rieusset

Keywords:

 $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ space $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ space

Embedding

ABSTRACT

The paper is concerned with the Dirichlet problem of higher order quasilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u)) = G + f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ D^\beta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \forall |\beta| \leq m-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \frac{N}{m}$. G is a bounded linear functional on $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u))$, $f(x, u)$ satisfy Carathéodory condition and some $p(x)$ -growth conditions, respectively. We show that there exists at least one non-trivial solution for the above problem in $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

After Kováčik and Rákosník first discussed $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ spaces in [10], a lot of research has been done concerning these kinds of variable exponent spaces, for example, see [4–7] for the properties of such spaces and [3,8,9] for the applications of variable exponent spaces on partial differential equations. Especially in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ space, there are a lot of studies for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems, see [8,9]. In recent years, the theory on problems with $p(x)$ -growth conditions has important applications in nonlinear elastic mechanics and electrorheological fluids (see [1,2,11,13,15]).

In this paper, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u)) = G + f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ D^\beta u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \forall |\beta| \leq m-1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $N \geq 1$, $p(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous and $1 < p^- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) < \frac{N}{m}$, G is a bounded linear functional on $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\xi_m = \{\xi_\alpha: |\alpha| \leq m\}$ are the elements in the vector space \mathbb{R}^m . We can write ξ_m as $\xi_m = (\eta_{m-1}, \zeta_m)$, where $\eta_{m-1} = \{\xi_\alpha: |\alpha| \leq m-1\}$ and $\zeta_m = \{\xi_\alpha: |\alpha| = m\}$. For $u \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\xi_m(u(x)) = \{\xi_\alpha(u(x)) = D^\alpha u(x): |\alpha| \leq m\}$.

When $p(x)$ is a constant function, Shapiro (see [14]) studied the problem above. In this paper, by a special technique, we overcome difficulties caused by $p(x)$ -growth conditions.

[☆] Supported by Science Research Foundation in Harbin Institute of Technology (HITC200702), and the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (A2007-04) and the Program of Excellent Team in Harbin Institute of Technology.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yumei301796@gmail.com (M. Yu).

We impose the following conditions on $A_\alpha(x, \xi_m)$:

- (A-1) Each $A_\alpha : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition.
 (A-2) $|A_\alpha(x, \xi_m)| \leq h_0(x) + c_1 |\xi_m|^{p(x)-1}$, where $c_1 > 0$ and $0 \leq h_0(x) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$.
 (A-3) $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, \xi_m) - A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}, \zeta'_m)](\xi_\alpha - \xi'_\alpha) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $\zeta_m \neq \zeta'_m$.
 (A-4) $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, \xi_m) \xi_\alpha \geq c_2 |\zeta_m|^{p(x)} - H(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $c_2 > 0$ and $0 \leq H(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

We define

$$Q(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u)) D^\alpha v \, dx \quad (1.2)$$

on $W_0^{m, p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\lambda_1 = \lim_{\|u\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty} Q(u, u) / \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Note that we can apply (A-4) to obtain

$$\frac{Q(u, u)}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx} \geq \frac{c_2 \int_{\Omega} |\zeta_m(u)|^{p(x)} \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} H(x) \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx}$$

and

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} H(x) \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx} = 0.$$

It is immediate that $\lambda_1 \geq 0$.

For the function $f(x, t)$, we suppose

- (f-1) $f(x, t)$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition.
 (f-2) $|f(x, t)| \leq h_1(x) + K|t|^{q(x)-1}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $K > 0$ and $0 \leq h_1(x) \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$, $q : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and satisfies $p(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) := \frac{Np(x)}{N-mp(x)}$.
 (f-3) $tf(x, t) \leq (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1)|t|^{p(x)} + h_2(x)|t|$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $0 \leq h_2(x) \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$.

If $\lambda_1 = \infty$, there exist $M > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that $Q(u, u) \geq L \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx$ as $\|u\|_{p(x)} > M$. We replace λ_1 by L in (f-3), then we can obtain the same result.

Our main result is that:

Theorem 1.1. Under assumptions (A-1)–(A-4) and (f-1)–(f-3), there exists $u^* \in W_0^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that for all $v \in W_0^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$Q(u^*, v) = G(v) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u^*) v \, dx. \quad (1.4)$$

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present some necessary facts; in Section 3, we give the main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we first recall some facts on variable exponent spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a measurable subset, see [6,7,10] for the details.

Let $\mathbf{P}(\Omega)$ be the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $p : \Omega \rightarrow (1, \infty)$,

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of functions u such that $\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx < \infty$. $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm (2.1).

For a given $p(x) \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$, we define the conjugate function $p'(x)$ as:

$$p'(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The inequality*

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x) \cdot g(x)| dx \leq 2 \|f\|_{p(x)} \|g\|_{p'(x)}$$

holds for every $f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.2. *The dual space to $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if*

$$1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $p(x)$ satisfies (2.2). Let $\text{meas } \Omega < \infty$ and $p_1(x), p_2(x) \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$, then the necessary and sufficient condition for $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ is that for almost all $x \in \Omega$ we have $p_1(x) \leq p_2(x)$, and in this case the embedding is continuous.*

Definition 2.1. Let $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $D \subset \Omega$ be a measurable subset, and χ_D be the characteristic function of D . If $\lim_{\text{meas } D \rightarrow 0} \|u(x)\chi_D(x)\|_{p(x)} = 0$, then we say that u is absolutely continuous with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_{p(x)}$.

Theorem 2.4. $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_{p(x)}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that $p(x)$ satisfies (2.2) and $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then*

1. $\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1$ ($= 1$; > 1) if and only if $\rho(u) < 1$ ($= 1$; > 1).
2. If $\|u\|_{p(x)} > 1$, then $\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+}$.
3. If $\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1$, then $\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$.

Next we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a nonempty open set and k is a given natural number.

Given a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ is the generalized derivative operator.

The generalized Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of functions f on Ω such that $D^\alpha f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ for every multi-index α with $|\alpha| \leq k$. $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{k,p(x)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha f\|_{p(x)}. \quad (2.3)$$

By $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we denote the subspace of $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.3).

Theorem 2.6. *The spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable reflexive Banach spaces, if $p(x)$ satisfies (2.2).*

We denote the dual space of $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ by $W^{-k,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, then we have

Theorem 2.7. *For every $G \in W^{-k,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique system of functions $\{g_\alpha \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega) : |\alpha| \leq k\}$ such that*

$$G(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha f(x) g_\alpha(x) dx, \quad \forall f \in W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

The norm of $W^{-k,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$\|G\|_{-k,p'} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|G(f)|}{\|f\|_{k,p(x)}} : f \in W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n with cone property. If $p : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous and $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \frac{N}{k}$, $q(x) : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and satisfies $p(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) := \frac{Np(x)}{N-kp(x)}$ for a.e. $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous embedding $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 2.9. *Let Ω be a bounded domain. If $p(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)},$$

where C is a constant dependent on Ω .

3. The Dirichlet problem

In this section we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. First we give some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a bounded open set, then*

- (a) $\exists \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega)$ with $\phi_n \in W_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\forall n$;
 (b) Let S_J be the subspace in $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ spanned by $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_J\}$. Then given $v \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\exists \{v_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$ with $v_J \in S_J$ such that $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_J\|_{m,p(x)} = 0$.

Proof. When $p(x) \equiv p > 1$ is a constant function, Lemma 3.1 first is proved in the case $2 \leq p < \infty$, then the fact that $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is used to show that Lemma 3.1 holds for $1 < p < 2$. So $\exists \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ such that (a) and (b) hold for $1 < p(x) \equiv p < \infty$ (see [14, Appendix]). By Theorem 2.3, we have the embedding $W^{m,p^+}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, so $\exists \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p^+}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $\forall v \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\exists \{f_J\} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$, $\|v - f_J\|_{m,p(x)} < \varepsilon$ whenever $J > N$. Since $f_J \in W_0^{m,p^+}(\Omega)$, $\exists M_J > 0$ such that $\|\sum_{j=1}^{M_J} c_{Jj} \phi_j - f_J\|_{m,p^+} < \varepsilon$. By the embedding $W^{m,p^+}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have $\|u\|_{m,p(x)} \leq C \|u\|_{m,p^+}$. Furthermore when J is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v - \sum_{j=1}^{M_J} c_{Jj} \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)} &\leq \|v - f_J\|_{m,p(x)} + \left\| f_J - \sum_{j=1}^{M_J} c_{Jj} \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + C \left\| f_J - \sum_{j=1}^{M_J} c_{Jj} \phi_j \right\|_{m,p^+} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Take $v_J = \sum_{j=1}^{M_J} c_{Jj} \phi_j$, then $\|v - v_J\| < \varepsilon$ when J is sufficiently large. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $J \geq 1$ be a given positive integer. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then there exists $u_J \in S_J$ such that for all $v \in S_J$,*

$$Q(u_J, v) = G(v) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J) v \, dx,$$

where S_J is the subspace in Lemma 3.1(b).

Proof. Since $G \in W^{-m,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\exists C > 0$ such that for every $v \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$|G(v)| \leq C \|v\|_{m,p(x)}. \quad (3.1)$$

By Theorem 2.8 and (f-2), $\exists C > 0$ such that for every $v \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$\|v\|_{q(x)} \leq C \|v\|_{m,p(x)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since the dimension of S_J is finite, $\exists C > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^J$,

$$C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \phi_j \right\|_{p(x)} \geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_J) \in \mathbb{R}^J$,

$$F_k(\beta) = Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j, \phi_k\right) - G(\phi_k) - \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\right) \phi_k \, dx,$$

$k = 1, \dots, J$ and $F(\beta) = (F_1(\beta), \dots, F_J(\beta))$. Since the dimension of S_J is finite and $\|\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\beta| \rightarrow \infty$, $\|\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\beta| \rightarrow \infty$. By (1.3), $\exists \gamma_0 > 0$ such that whenever $|\beta| \geq \gamma_0$,

$$Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j, \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\right) \geq \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right|^{p(x)} \, dx.$$

Then

$$F(\beta)\beta \geq \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right|^{p(x)} dx - G\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\right) - \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^J \beta_k \phi_k\right) dx.$$

From the results above, (f-3), (3.1)–(3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\beta)\beta &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right|^{p(x)} dx - C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)} - \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right| dx \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} - C \left(\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)} - \|h_2(x)\|_{q'(x)} \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{m,p(x)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} - C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j \right\|_{p(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\exists \gamma_1$ such that $F(\beta)\beta > 0$ for $|\beta| \geq \gamma_1 > \gamma_0$.

It follows from (A-1), (A-2), (1.2), (f-2) and the definition of $F_k(\beta)$ that $F_k(\beta) \in C(R^J)$ for $k = 1, \dots, J$. Hence, by [12], it is immediate that $\exists l = (l_1, \dots, l_J)$ with $|l| < \gamma_1$ such that $F_k(l) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, J$. Setting $u_J = \sum_{j=1}^J l_j \phi_j$, we obtain $Q(u_J, \phi_k) = G(\phi_k) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J) \phi_k dx$ for $k = 1, \dots, J$. Furthermore for any $v = \sum_{k=1}^J \beta_k \phi_k \in S_J$, $Q(u_J, v) = G(v) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J) v dx$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, there is a sequence $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^{\infty}$ with $u_J \in S_J$ such that the conclusion of Lemma 3.2 holds, then $\exists C > 0$ such that for any J , $\|u_J\|_{p(x)} \leq C$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it is easy to get a sequence $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^{\infty}$ with $u_J \in S_J$ such that the conclusion of Lemma 3.2 holds. Suppose that C does not exist and $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|u_J\|_{p(x)} = \infty$. By (1.3), (3.1), (3.2) and (f-3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u_J|^{p(x)} dx &\leq Q(u_J, u_J) \leq C \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} + (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1) \int_{\Omega} |u_J|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) |u_J| dx \\ &\leq C \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} + (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1) \int_{\Omega} |u_J|^{p(x)} dx + 2 \|h_2(x)\|_{q'(x)} \cdot \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} \end{aligned}$$

for $J \geq J_0 > 0$. So $\exists C > 0$ such that for any J , $\int_{\Omega} |u_J|^{p(x)} dx \leq C \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}$. By (A-4)

$$C \int_{\Omega} |\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x) dx + G(u_J) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J) u_J dx,$$

and by (3.2)

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J) u_J dx \leq |\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1| \int_{\Omega} |u_J|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u_J| dx \leq C(|\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1| \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} + \|h(x)\|_{q'(x)} \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}),$$

so by (3.1) it is immediate that

$$C \int_{\Omega} |\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x) dx + \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}.$$

By Theorems 2.5 and 2.9 we get $\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}^{p^-} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^{\alpha} u_J\|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} \|D^{\alpha} u_J\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq C \|\zeta_m(u_J)\|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x)} dx \geq \|\zeta_m(u_J)\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \geq C \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}^{p^-},$$

because $\|\zeta_m(u_J)\|_{p(x)} > 1$ when J is sufficiently large. Furthermore

$$C \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x) dx + \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}.$$

Since $p^- > 1$, we obtain that $\{\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}\}_{J=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, so $\{\|u_J\|_{p(x)}\}_{J=1}^{\infty}$ is also bounded. We obtain a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, there exist a subsequence (still denote it by $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$) and $u^* \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_J)) - A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*))][D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] = 0$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. Step 1. By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.5, $\exists C > 0$ such that $\int_\Omega |u_J|^{p(x)} dx < C$, then by (3.2) we get

$$\int_\Omega f(x, u_J) u_J dx \leq |\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1| \int_\Omega |u_J|^{p(x)} dx + \int_\Omega h_2(x) |u_J| dx \leq C(|\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1| + \|h_2(x)\|_{q'(x)} \cdot \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}).$$

Then similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3

$$C\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}^{p^-} \leq C\left(\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} + \int_\Omega H(x) dx + |\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_2| + \|h_2(x)\|_{q'(x)} \cdot \|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}\right).$$

We obtain that $\{\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)}\}_{J=1}^\infty$ is bounded, i.e. $\exists K_6 > 0$ such that for any J ,

$$\|u_J\|_{m,p(x)} \leq K_6. \quad (3.4)$$

As $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space, there exist a subsequence (still denote it by $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$) and $u^* \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*\|_{p(x)} = 0 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq m-1, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega D^\alpha u_J w dx = \int_\Omega D^\alpha u^* w dx, \quad \forall w \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega), \text{ for } |\alpha| = m, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} G(u_J) = G(u^*), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{m-1}(u_J(x)) = \eta_{m-1}(u^*(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (3.8)$$

We define

$$V_\alpha = A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)) - A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*)).$$

Note that for $|\alpha| = m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)) [D^\alpha u_J(x) - D^\alpha u^*(x)] dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \{V_\alpha [D^\alpha u_J(x) - D^\alpha u^*(x)] + A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*)) [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*]\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)) [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] dx = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

By (A-2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u^*))|^{p'(x)} dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |h_0(x) + c_1 \xi_m(u^*)|^{p(x)-1} |^{p'(x)} dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |h_0(x)|^{p'(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\xi_m(u^*)|^{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u^*)) \in L^{p'(x)} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = m.$$

By (3.6)

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u^*)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx = 0 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| = m.$$

Furthermore by Theorem 2.4, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that whenever $\text{meas } E < \delta$ we have $\int_E |A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u^*))|^{p'(x)} dx < \varepsilon$, $\int_E |h_0(x)|^{p'(x)} dx < \varepsilon$ and $\int_E |D^{\alpha} u^*|^{p(x)} dx < \varepsilon$. From (3.5) and Theorem 2.5

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*|^{p(x)} dx = 0$$

for $|\alpha| \leq m-1$ and further $\exists J_0$ such that whenever $J \geq J_0$, $\int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*|^{p(x)} dx < \varepsilon$ and

$$\int_E |D^{\alpha} u_J|^{p(x)} dx \leq 2^{p^+} \left(\int_E |D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*|^{p(x)} dx + \int_E |D^{\alpha} u^*|^{p(x)} dx \right) \leq (2^{p^+} + 1) \varepsilon.$$

By (A-2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |V_{\alpha}|^{p'(x)} dx &\leq C \int_E (|A_{\alpha}(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*))|^{p'(x)} + |A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u^*))|^{p'(x)}) dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_E |h_0(x)|^{p'(x)} dx + \int_E |(\eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*))|^{p(x)} dx \right) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon + \int_E |\eta_{m-1}(u_J)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_E |\zeta_m(u^*)|^{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

With (3.8) and (A-1), it is immediate that $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} |V_{\alpha}|^{p'(x)} = 0$ for $|\alpha| = m$. Consequently from Vitali's Theorem $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |V_{\alpha}|^{p'(x)} dx = 0$ for $|\alpha| = m$. By Theorem 2.1

$$\int_{\Omega} V_{\alpha} [D^{\alpha} u_J(x) - D^{\alpha} u^*(x)] dx \leq 2 \|V_{\alpha}\|_{p'(x)} \|D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*\|_{p(x)}.$$

Then $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_{\alpha} [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx = 0$ for $|\alpha| = m$. So we obtain (3.9).

Step 2. We will prove

$$\varlimsup_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx \leq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1(b) and $u^* \in W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\exists \{u_J^*\}_{J=1}^{\infty}$ with $u_J^* \in S_J$ such that

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|u_J^* - u^*\|_{m,p(x)} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

We observe that

$$Q(u_J, u_J - u_J^*) = G(u_J - u_J^*) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u_J^*) dx.$$

By (3.1), (3.7) and (3.10), it is immediate that

$$|G(u_J) - G(u_J^*)| \leq |G(u^* - u_J^*)| + |G(u_J) - G(u^*)| \leq C \|u^* - u_J^*\|_{m,p(x)} + |G(u_J) - G(u^*)|.$$

So $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} |G(u_J) - G(u_J^*)| = 0$. It follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that $\exists C > 0$ such that $\|u_J\|_{q(x)} \leq C$ for $J = 1, 2, \dots$. By (f-2), $\exists C > 0$ such that for any J , $\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_J)|^{q'(x)} dx \leq C$. Therefore by Theorem 2.4, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that whenever $\text{meas } E < \delta$,

$$\int_E |f(x, u_J) u^*| \leq 2 \|f(x, u_J)\|_{q'(x)} \|u^*\|_{q(x), E} dx \leq C\varepsilon.$$

We obtain

$$\int_E |f(x, u_J) u^*| dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } J = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.5) we have $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_J - u^*|^{p(x)} dx = 0$. Then $\exists J_0$ such that whenever $J \geq J_0$, $\int_{\Omega} |u_J - u^*|^{p(x)} dx < \varepsilon$. By Theorem 2.4, $\int_E |u^*|^{p(x)} dx < \varepsilon$ and further we have

$$\int_E |u_J|^{p(x)} dx < C \left(\int_E |u_J - u^*|^{p(x)} dx + \int_E |u^*|^{p(x)} dx \right) < C\varepsilon$$

for $J \geq J_0$. With $\int_E |h_2(x)|^{q'(x)} dx < \varepsilon$ and (f-3), we have

$$\int_E f(x, u_J) u_J dx \leq (\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1) \int_E |u_J|^{p(x)} dx + \int_E |h_2(x)| \cdot |u_J| dx \leq C\varepsilon \quad (3.12)$$

for $J = 1, 2, \dots$. By (3.2) and (3.10), $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|u_J^* - u^*\|_{q(x)} = 0$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J)(u_J^* - u^*) dx = 0$. By (f-1) and (3.8), we have $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} f(x, u_J) = f(x, u^*)$ a.e. on Ω , then $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*) = 0$ a.e. on Ω . Using Egoroff's theorem we see that $\exists E$ with $\text{meas } E < \delta$ such that $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*) = 0$ uniformly in $\Omega \setminus E$. Consequently $\exists J_0$ such that for any $J \geq J_0$, $|f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*)| < \varepsilon / \text{meas } \Omega$ for $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, and with (3.11), (3.12), we have for $J \geq J_0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*) dx \leq \int_E |f(x, u_J) u_J| dx + \int_E |f(x, u_J) u^*| dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*)| dx \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is an arbitrary positive number, we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*) dx \leq 0.$$

Furthermore we get $\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_J)(u_J - u^*) dx \leq 0$. From the results above, it is immediate that $\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} Q(u_J, u_J - u^*) \leq 0$ or

$$\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u_J^*] dx \leq 0.$$

By (A-2) and (3.4), $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J))|^{p'(x)} dx \leq C \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq m, J = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.10), we get $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J^* - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$. Hence $\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) \cdot [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx \leq 0$. Note that

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx \leq 2 \|A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J))\|_{p'(x)} \|D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*\|_{p(x)}$$

for $|\alpha| \leq m-1$ and by (3.5) we get $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx = 0$. So

$$\overline{\lim}_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| = m} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi_m(u_J)) [D^{\alpha} u_J - D^{\alpha} u^*] dx \leq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Step 3. We define

$$U_\alpha(x) = A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_J)) - A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)).$$

We observe that from (3.9) and (3.14)

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x) \cdot [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] dx \leq 0.$$

By (A-3), we get $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x) \cdot [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] dx = 0$. So the sequence $\{\sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x) \cdot [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*]\}_{J=1}^\infty$ converges in L^1 -norm to zero, and further there exists a subsequence (still denote it by $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$) satisfying $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x) \cdot [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. \square

Lemma 3.5. Assume that the sequence $\{u_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$ satisfies Lemma 3.4, then $\{|\zeta_m(u_J)|\}_{J=1}^\infty$ is pointwise bounded for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, i.e. \exists constant K_x such that $|\zeta_m(u_J)| \leq K_x$ for $J = 1, \dots$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. Let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ with $\text{meas } \Omega_1 = \text{meas } \Omega$ be the set such that (3.8) and Lemma 3.4 hold, where $\xi_m(u_J(x))$, $\xi_m(u^*(x))$, $A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J(x)), \zeta_m(u^*(x)))$, $h_0(x)$ and $H(x)$ are finite-valued for $x \in \Omega_1$, $|\alpha| \leq m$ and $J = 1, 2, \dots$. It is sufficient to show that

$$\{|\zeta_m(u_J)|\}_{J=1}^\infty \text{ is pointwise bounded for } x \in \Omega_1. \quad (3.15)$$

Suppose to the contrary that there exist a point $x_0 \in \Omega_1$ and a subsequence (still denote it by $\{|\zeta_m(u_J)|\}_{J=1}^\infty$) such that $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} |\zeta_m(u_J(x_0))| = \infty$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $p(x_0) - \varepsilon > 1$. It follows from (A-2) that

$$\frac{A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u_J}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{h_0(x_0) D^\alpha u_J + c_1 |\xi_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-1} D^\alpha u_J}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}}$$

for $|\alpha| \leq m-1$. Since $p(x_0) - \varepsilon - (p(x_0) - 1) > 0$, by (3.8) we have

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u_J}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

For fixed J we have

$$A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u_J = A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u^* + A_\alpha(x, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)) [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*] + U_\alpha(x) [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*].$$

By (A-2)

$$\frac{A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u^*}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{h_0(x_0) D^\alpha u^* + c_1 |\xi_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-1} D^\alpha u^*}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}},$$

and further

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u^*}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} = 0$$

for $|\alpha| = m$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\alpha(x_0, \eta_{m-1}(u_J), \zeta_m(u^*)) (D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*)}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} = 0$$

for $|\alpha| = m$. Furthermore by Lemma 3.4

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x_0) \cdot [D^\alpha u_J - D^\alpha u^*]}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

So we have

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u_J}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

By (A-4)

$$\frac{c_2 |\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)}}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u_J)) D^\alpha u_J + H(x_0)}{|\zeta_m(u_J)|^{p(x_0)-\varepsilon}},$$

and moreover $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} |\zeta_m(u_J(x_0))|^\varepsilon = 0$. We get a contradiction, so (3.15) holds, furthermore Lemma 3.5 holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the sequence in Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. Let Ω_2 be the subset of Ω such that $\text{meas}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_2) = 0$ and moreover Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 hold for $x \in \Omega_2$. We will show that there exists a subsequence (still denote it by $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$) such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_m(u_j(x)) = \zeta_m(u^*(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (3.16)$$

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \Omega_2$ such that (3.16) does not hold, then there exist a subsequence (still denote it by $\{\zeta_m(u_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$) and $\zeta_m^*(u^*(x_0))$ with $\zeta_m^*(u^*(x_0)) \neq \zeta_m(u^*(x_0))$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_m(u_j(x_0)) = \zeta_m^*(u^*(x_0))$.

We define

$$W_\alpha = A_\alpha(x_0, \eta_{m-1}(u^*), \zeta_m^*(u^*)) - A_\alpha(x_0, \xi_m(u^*)).$$

From (3.8) we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x_0) \cdot [\zeta_m(u_j) - \zeta_m(u^*)] = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} W_\alpha [\zeta_m^*(u^*) - \zeta_m(u^*)].$$

By (A-3), it is immediate that $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} W_\alpha [\zeta_m^*(u^*) - \zeta_m(u^*)] > 0$. But by Lemma 3.4, we get that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} U_\alpha(x_0) \cdot [\zeta_m(u_j) - \zeta_m(u^*)] = 0$. We have a contradiction. Hence we obtain (3.16).

Let the sequence $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ satisfies (3.16) and all the lemmas above. Let $v \in \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty S_j$. Note that

$$\int_E |v| |u_j|^{q(x)-1} dx \leq 2 \| |u_j|^{q(x)-1} \|_{q'(x), E} \|v\|_{q(x), E},$$

where E is a measurable subset of Ω . From (3.2), (3.4) and Theorem 2.8 we have $\{\| |u_j|^{q(x)-1} \|_{q'(x)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Using (f-2) and Theorem 2.4 we furthermore obtain that $\{f(x, u_j)v\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is absolutely equi-integrable. From (f-1) and (3.8) we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x, u_j)v = f(x, u^*)v$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. By Vitali's Theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega f(x, u_j)v dx = \int_\Omega f(x, u^*)v dx.$$

Since

$$\int_E |A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_j))| |D^\alpha v| dx \leq 2 \|A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_j))\|_{p'(x)} \|D^\alpha v\|_{p(x), E}$$

for $|\alpha| \leq m$, where E is a measurable subset of Ω , from (3.13) and Theorem 2.4 we see that $\{A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_j))D^\alpha v\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is absolutely equi-integrable for $|\alpha| \leq m$. It follows from (A-1), (3.8) and (3.16) that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_j))D^\alpha v = A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*))D^\alpha v$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $|\alpha| \leq m$. Hence from Vitali's Theorem we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u_j))D^\alpha v dx = \int_\Omega A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*))D^\alpha v dx$$

for $|\alpha| \leq m$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(u_j, v) = Q(u^*, v)$. By Lemma 3.2 we see that for any $v \in \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty S_j$, $\exists J \geq 1$ such that $v \in S_J$ and

$$Q(u_j, v) = G(v) + \int_\Omega f(x, u_j)v dx,$$

so

$$Q(u^*, v) = G(v) + \int_\Omega f(x, u^*)v dx \quad \text{for any } v \in \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty S_j.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) that $\forall v \in W_0^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\exists \{v_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $v_j \in S_j$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_j - v\|_{m, p(x)} = 0$. Hence by (A-2) and Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\int_\Omega |A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*))| |D^\alpha v_j - D^\alpha v| dx \leq C (\|h_0(x)\|_{p'(x)} + \|\xi_m\|_{p(x)}^{p(x)-1}) \|D^\alpha v_j - D^\alpha v\|_{p(x)}.$$

So $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |A_\alpha(x, \xi_m(u^*))| |D^\alpha v_j - D^\alpha v| dx = 0$. Then we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(u^*, v_j) = Q(u^*, v)$. By (f-2), (3.2) and Theorem 2.1, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega f(x, u^*)v_j dx = \int_\Omega f(x, u^*)v dx$. By (3.1) $|G(v_j - v)| \leq C \|v_j - v\|_{m, p(x)}$, so $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} G(v_j) = G(v)$. It is immediate that (1.4) holds. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. \square

References

- [1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 164 (2002) 213–259.
- [2] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, G.A. Seregin, Regularity results for parabolic systems related to a class of non-Newtonian fluids, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 21 (2004) 25–60.
- [3] J. Chabrowski, Y. Fu, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems on a bounded domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 306 (2005) 604–618; Corrigendum: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323 (2006) 1483.
- [4] D. Edmunds, J. Lang, A. Nekvinda, On $L^{p(x)}$ norms, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 455 (1999) 219–225.
- [5] D. Edmunds, J. Rákosník, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* 143 (2000) 267–293.
- [6] X. Fan, J. Shen, D. Zhao, Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{m,p(x)}$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 262 (2001) 749–760.
- [7] X. Fan, D. Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 263 (2001) 424–446.
- [8] X. Fan, Q. Zhang, D. Zhao, Eigenvalues of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 302 (2005) 306–317.
- [9] M. Galewski, New variational method for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 72 (2005) 53–65.
- [10] O. Kováčik, J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 41 (116) (1991) 592–618.
- [11] B. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu, A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 462 (2006) 2625–2641.
- [12] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Lecture Notes, Courant Institute, New York, 1974.
- [13] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [14] V.L. Shapiro, Quasilinear ellipticity and the 1st eigenvalue, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 16 (11) (1991) 1819–1855.
- [15] V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity, *Math. USSR Izv.* 29 (1987) 33–66.